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Abstract—In this work, we develop a new parallel implementation of the k-means unsupervised clustering algorithm for commodity graphic processing units (GPUs), and further evaluate the performance of this newly developed algorithm in the task of classifying (in unsupervised fashion) satellite imagery available from Google Maps engine. With the ultimate goal of evaluating the classification precision of the newly developed algorithm, we have analyzed the consensus or agreement in the classification achieved by our implementation and an alternative implementation of the algorithm available in commercial software. Our experimental results, conducted using satellite images obtained from Google Maps engine over different locations around the Earth, indicate that the classification agreement between our parallel version and the k-means algorithm available in commercial software is very high. In addition, the GPU version (developed using the CUDA language available from NVidia™) is much faster than the serial one (speedup above 30), thus indicating that our proposed implementation allows for larger scale processing of high-dimensional image databases such as those available in the Google Maps engine.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The wealth of satellite imagery [1] available in web mapping service applications such as Google Maps1, which now provides high-resolution satellite images from many locations around the Earth, has opened the appealing perspective of performing classification and retrieval tasks via programming libraries such as SwingX-WS2. In fact, the introduction of Google’s mapping engine prompted a worldwide interest in satellite imagery exploitation [2-4]. The combination of an easily pannable and searchable mapping and satellite imagery tool such as Google Maps with advanced image classification and retrieval features has the potential to significantly expand the functionalities of the tool and also to allow end-users to extract relevant information from a massive and widely available database of satellite images (the Google Maps service is free for non-commercial use).

In this paper, we describe a new tool [5] which allows an inexperienced user to perform unsupervised classification of satellite images obtained via Google Maps by means of the well-known k-means clustering algorithm [6], which can be followed by spatial post-processing based on majority voting. The classification stage has been implemented in parallel using commodity graphic processing units (GPUs) [7-8], which are specialized hardware cards that are nowadays widely available in standard PCs. It is important to emphasize that GPUs offer important advantages in the context of remote sensing image processing applications. For instance, while the price of a next-generation GPU stands at around 400€ (∼600 USD), the price of a cluster can be much higher. At the same time, using a cluster results in a series of unfavorable conditions from the point of view of its implementation as a processing module onboard the remote sensing instruments, with critical issues that can negatively affect the mission payload (weight, power consumption, heating, maintenance, etc.). In turn, GPUs offer a much more compact solution, although it is also important to bear in mind the conditions of tolerance of GPUs to extreme requirements in terms of consumption and sensitivity to radiation in space. However, we believe that the GPU solutions offered in this work will soon exhibit the potential to be incorporated into remote sensing missions for onboard processing.

Specifically, the GPU implementations reported in this work include analyses of consensus or agreement in the classification achieved by our GPU implementation with regards to an alternative implementation of the k-means clustering algorithm available in commercial software (ITT Visual Information Solutions ENVI™). In addition, our parallel version of the k-means algorithm—implemented in NVidia™ GPUs using the compute unified device architecture (CUDA)3– is shown to be more than 30 times faster than the serial version. This opens the way for exciting new developments and potentials in efficient processing of large databases of satellite images, such as those available from Google Maps engine and used in this work for demonstration.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the classification system that we have developed for satellite images available in Google Maps engine. Section III describes the GPU implementation. Section IV provides experimental results from the viewpoint of classification agreement and parallel performance on an NVidia Tesla C1060 GPU. Finally, Section V concludes with some remarks and hints at plausible future research lines.
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II. CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR SATELLITE IMAGES IN GOOGLE MAPS ENGINE

Our classification system for Google Maps images consists of the integration of the different software modules developed (unsupervised classifiers) with the functionalities provided by the SwingX-WS libraries, in the form of a general-purpose desktop application [2]. For this purpose, we have resorted to the Java programming language (see Fig. 1), which is a multi-platform environment that simplifies porting of our tool to different environments. Specifically, we have resorted to the NetBeans platform\(^5\), which allows applications to be developed from a set of modular software components called modules. In this framework, applications can install modules dynamically and any application can include the update center module to allow users of the application to download digitally-signed upgrades and new features directly into the running application. Reinstalling an upgrade or a new release does not force users to download the entire application again. The platform offers reusable services common to desktop applications, allowing developers to focus on the logic specific to their application.

With the above ideas in mind, Fig. 2 shows different views of the developed tool. As shown by Fig. 2, the tool allows selecting an area to be classified, obtaining classification results in unsupervised fashion, retaining the classified area at different zoom levels (although the classification is obtained at the maximum zoom level), and other functionalities such as spatial post-processing of obtained results for increased spatial consistency, managing of the resulting classification and extracted satellite images, loading/storing of results via file logs which can be saved in a database, automatic positioning in any latitude and longitude coordinates in the entire Google Maps database, overlaying of classification results with different views (satellite, map, hybrid), etc. Overall, we feel that the developed tool incorporates interesting additional functionalities to the Google Maps engine (particularly in the possibility of better exploiting the satellite images available from this tool in different application domains).

III. GPU IMPLEMENTATION

GPUs can be abstracted in terms of a stream model, under which all data sets are represented as streams (i.e., ordered data sets) [4]. Algorithms are constructed by chaining so-called kernels, which operate on entire streams, taking one or more streams as inputs and producing one or more streams as outputs. Thereby, data-level parallelism is exposed to hardware, and kernels can be concurrently applied without any sort of synchronization.

Fig. 2. Different views of the developed tool. Selection of an area to be classified in New York City (top). Unsupervised classification result provided by our implementation of k-means superimposed on the tool (middle). Zoom reduction retaining the classified area (bottom).

The kernels can perform a kind of batch processing arranged in the form of a grid of blocks, as displayed in Fig. 3(a), where each block is composed by a group of threads which share data efficiently through the shared local memory and synchronize their execution for coordinating accesses to memory. This figure also
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displays how each kernel is executed as a grid of blocks of threads. On the other hand, Fig. 3(b) shows the execution model in the GPU, which can be seen as a set of multiprocessors. In each clock cycle each processor of the multiprocessor executes the same instruction but operating on multiple data streams. Each processor has access to a local shared memory and also to local cache memories in the multiprocessor, while the multiprocessors have access to the global GPU (device) memory.

In the following we describe the different steps that we followed for the development of the GPU version of the k-means algorithm. In our implementation, pixels are distributed in the form of vectors which store the values of the red, green, blue and saturation components. In the following, we assume that images fit in the global GPU memory. The k-means algorithm calculates the euclidean distance from each pixel vector to the closest cluster center (centers are initialized randomly). In our CUDA implementation, we assigned the calculation of the distance of each pixel of the image to an independent processing thread. This way, each thread calculates of the distance between the values of each pixel and the nearest cluster center. Fig. 4 illustrates the kernel that performs this operation. Next, we recalculate the centers of each cluster and reassign new pixels to each of the clusters until convergence. This part has not been parallelized in the GPU, mainly because for a small number of clusters this computation can be performed in the CPU without representing a dominant factor in the overall time of the solution.

Fig. 3. Schematic overview of a GPU architecture. (a) Threads, blocks and grids. b) Execution model in the GPU.

Fig. 4. Main CUDA kernel developed for the implementation of k-means algorithm in GPUs.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we perform an experimental validation of our developed system using satellite images obtained from Google Maps. The experimental validation of k-means has been conducted by comparing the results provided by our GPU implementation with those available in a well-known commercial software package: the ENVI package distributed by ITT Visual Information Solutions. In our tests, we adopt exactly the same parameters when running our implementation and the one available in ENVI software, comparing the results in terms of the agreement between both solutions and their computational performance.

Fig. 5. (a) Satellite image over the World Trade Center area. (b) Unsupervised classification result provided by our GPU implementation of k-means. (c) Unsupervised classification result provided by ENVI’s implementation of k-means.

In the following, we present the obtained results in a specific case study focused on classification of satellite images available from the World Trade Center (WTC) area in New York City. Fig 5(a) shows a satellite image extracted from Google Maps engine. The resolution of the image is quite high, with approximately 5 meters per pixel. Fig. 5(b) shows the unsupervised classification
result provided by our GPU implementation as compared to the result provided by ENVI’s k-means in Fig. 5(c). As shown by Fig. 5, the color labels for our implementation and the one available in ENVI are different, but the classification maps are very similar. In both cases, the parameters for both algorithms have been set to exactly the same values, with the number of clusters set empirically to five. Table I reports the classification agreement (in percentage) measured after comparing our k-means classification map with the one obtained by ENVI (assuming the latter as the reference). As shown by Table I, the agreement between both maps is quite high.

Table I
Classification agreement (in percentage) after comparing the classification map provided by our GPU implementation of k-means with the classification map obtained by ENVI’s k-means for the image in Fig. 5(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shadows #1 (blue in Fig. 5(b))</th>
<th>Shadows #2 (red in Fig. 5(b))</th>
<th>Urban areas #1 (yellow in Fig. 5(b))</th>
<th>Urban areas #1 (green in Fig. 5(b))</th>
<th>Urban areas #2 (orange in Fig. 5(b))</th>
<th>Overall agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78.26</td>
<td>95.49</td>
<td>85.56</td>
<td>90.65</td>
<td>97.39</td>
<td>89.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a second experiment, we have selected a specific case study focused on classification of satellite images available from the Nile river in the north of Cairo (Egypt) (see Fig. 6). The resolution of the image is quite high, with approximately 5 meters per pixel. As shown by Fig. 6, the color labels for our implementation and the one available in ENVI are different, but the classification maps are very similar. In both cases, the parameters for both algorithms have been set to exactly the same values, with the number of clusters set to five. Table II reports the classification agreement (in percentage) measured after comparing our k-means classification map with the one obtained by ENVI (assuming the latter as the reference). As shown by Table II, the agreement between both maps is quite high.

Table II
Classification agreement (in percentage) after comparing the classification map provided by our GPU implementation of k-means with the classification map obtained by ENVI’s k-means for the image in Fig. 6(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil #1 (blue in Fig. 6(b))</th>
<th>Water #1 (green in Fig. 6(b))</th>
<th>Urban areas #1 (orange in Fig. 6(b))</th>
<th>Water #2 (red in Fig. 6(b))</th>
<th>Soil #2 (yellow in Fig. 6(b))</th>
<th>Overall agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63.89</td>
<td>96.98</td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>89.01</td>
<td>94.59</td>
<td>88.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III
Processing times (in seconds) and speedups achieved with regards to the corresponding CPU for different GPU implementations of the k-means algorithm (using different image sizes and number of clusters), in Fig. 5(a).

Table IV
Processing times (in seconds) and speedups achieved with regards to the corresponding CPU for different GPU implementations of the k-means algorithm (using different image sizes and number of clusters), in Fig. 6(a).
Finally, we analyze the computational performance of the developed GPU implementation with regards to its CPU (serial) version. In this work, we have used two different CPU-GPU configurations. In the first one, we use an Intel Core i7 920 CPU with the Ubuntu 9.04 Linux operating system and the NVidia Tesla C1060 GPU. In the second one, we use an Intel Core 2 Duo P8700 2.53Ghz CPU with the Windows 7 operating system and an NVidia GeForce 9400MGPU.

Table III and Table IV show the execution times achieved for each of the CPU-GPU configurations used, as well as the speedups achieved for different image sizes and number of clusters. An important observation is that, as we increase the image size and number of clusters, the speedup achieved by the GPUs tends to be more significant. For instance, the implementation in the Tesla C1060 GPU achieves a speedup of about 37x with regards to the CPU version for 1024 × 1024 image size and 128 clusters in the best case. However, the implementation in the GeForce 9400M GPU saturates for certain image sizes, achieving a speedup of about 10x in the best case.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

In this work, we have developed a new parallel implementation of the k-means clustering algorithm in the context of satellite image processing using NVIDIA GPUs. The algorithm has been implemented using CUDA, and tested using a recently developed system for information extraction and analysis of image data sets from Google Maps engine. The algorithm has been evaluated in terms of its agreement with commercial software in the same context, and also analyzing the speedup with regards to the (optimized) serial implementation of the same code.

The main contributions of this study can be summarized as follows:

- The proposed method succeeded in obtaining a good agreement in classification with regards to commercial software.
- The GPU implementation obtained a significant speedup over the optimized serial version, thus supporting large scale tests in the Google Maps engine.

In future work, we will develop other parallel implementations of the considered algorithm and also improve the clustering procedure by including other classifiers such as support vector machines (SVMs), which allow a user to train the classifier by selecting training samples from the image to be processed in semi-supervised fashion. Implementations of the proposed methods in OpenCL also represent an interesting future research line.
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